



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Karl G. Hanson (3) Yen Tong (P45,163)  
(2) Aaron J. Lewis (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 10/21/99

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: Mr. Hanson demonstrated a model of the instant invention.

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-28

Identification of prior art discussed: Tayebi ('508)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hanson argued that the filter (17) of Tayebi is not "contained" within the cartridge (19). Ex. Lewis indicated that an indentation within cartridge (19) as illustrated in Fig. 3 shows that the filter (17) is "contained" ~~within~~ by the cartridge (19). Mr. Hanson brought an amendment after final which seeks to add language to claim 23. Ex. Lewis made no immediate decision  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) regarding the proposed language.

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Aaron J. Lewis